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#### Abstract

We suggest a method for determining the harmonic linearization coefficient of a nonlinearity in a quasi-linear self-oscillating system from the amplitude variation curve by means of a generalized interpolation. We prove a theorem which in the analytical case guarantees the uniform convergence of the interpolation process to the desired function. The determination of the dynamic characteristics of nonlinear objects was examined in [1]. Thanks to the very well developed technique for applying the harmonic linearization methd [2, 3], the determination of the harmonic linearization coefficients for nonlinear objects has a particular significance.


We consider the equation of a quasi-linear self-oscillating system

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\bullet}+\omega^{2} y=\varepsilon f(y) y^{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter. It is known [4] that the first approximation to the solution of (1) to within quantities of order $\varepsilon^{2}$ is $y=x \cos \psi$, where $\psi$ is the uniformly rotating phase of the oscillations, while the amplitude of the oscillations is found from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\bullet}=\varepsilon \Phi(x) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If self-oscillations with a steady-state amplitude $b$ are self-excited on system (1), then $x=0$ is an unstable equilibrium position for (2), while $x=b$ is a stable one; here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(0)>0, \quad \Phi^{\prime}(b)<0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Phi(x)$ be a continuously differentiable function for $x \in[0, b]$ and has only simple roots, and let conditions (3)be fulfilled. Then we can write (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*}=x(b-x) \varphi(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the small parameter $\varepsilon>0$ has been taken into the function $\varphi$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)>0, \text { for all } x \in[0, b] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the nonlinearity in (1) is not determined statically, and $(b-x) \varphi(x)$ is the harmonic linearization coefficient for the system's nonlinearity. Having obtained experimentally a procedure for establishing self-oscillations in system (1) and assuming that the amplitude variation curve of the oscillations is the solution of (4), $x(t), x(0)=$ $x_{0} \in(0, b)$ which, under condition (5), increases strictly monotonically for $t \in[0, \infty)$, we can find the right-hand side of (4)from the curve $x(t)$ by means of an approximate differentiation and a subsequent interpolation. We propose a more effective way of finding the right-hand side of (4), using the information contained in the qualitative pattern of the behavior of the solutions of (4).

Theorem. For an Eq. (4) satisfying condition (5), suppose that we know an integral curve $t(x), t\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, where $x_{0} \in(0, b / 2)$ is a small initial perturbation. Let the analytical continuation of the function $t(x)$ onto the complex plane yield a function which is regular inside an ellipse with foci at the points $x_{0}, b-x_{0}$ and with a semiaxis sum of $\left(b / 2-x_{0}\right) R$, where $R>1$ is chosen in such a way that the points $0, b$ do not belong to this ellipse. Then, on the interval $\left[x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right]$ we can represent the function $\varphi(x)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(x)=1 / P_{n}(x) \mid \alpha_{n}(x) \\
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} d_{i} x^{i} \\
\alpha_{n}(x) \rightrightarrows 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad x \in\left[x_{0}, b-x_{j}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and the estimate

$$
\left|\varphi(x)-1 / P_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant M / \rho^{n+1} \quad(1<\mathrm{p}<R, \quad M=\text { const })
$$

is valid. Here the coefficients $P_{n}(x)$ are uniquely defined by the values of $t(x)$ from the interval $\left\lfloor x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right\rfloor$.

Lemma . Let $f(x)$ be an infinitely differentiable function for $x \in[0,1]$ and let $f^{(n)}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in(0,1), n=1,2 \ldots$, while $f(0)=0$. Then for any $n$ the functions $f, x, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n}$ form a Chebyshev system on the interval $(0,1)$.

Proof. Let us prove that for any set of values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n+1}, x_{i} \in(0,1), x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ foi $i \neq j$ the determinant $\Delta_{n}$ of order $n+1$, whose $i$ th row has the form

$$
f\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i} x_{i}{ }^{2} \ldots x_{i}^{n}
$$

is nonzero for any $n=1,2, \ldots$ We prove this by induction.
Let $\Delta_{1}=0$. Then there exist numbers $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{\because}$, not equal to zero, such that they serve as the coefficients of a vanishing linear combination of the columns of determinant $\Delta_{1}$. We consider the function $F(x)=\lambda_{1} f(x)+\lambda_{2} \cdot x$. It has the three roots $0, x_{1}, x_{2}$ on the interval 10,1 ). By Rolle's theorem $F^{\prime}(x)=\lambda_{1} f(x)+\lambda_{2}$ has two distinct roots $\xi_{1}$, $\xi_{2} \in(0,1)$. Then, the determinant $f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2}\right)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)=0$ for $\xi \in\left(\xi_{1}\right.$, $\xi_{2}$, which contradicts the hypothesis. Hence it follows that $\Delta_{1} \neq 0$.

Let $\Delta_{n-1} \neq 0$.Assume, despite the lemma's assertion, that $\Delta_{n}=0$. Then the relation $\lambda_{1} S_{1}+\ldots \mid \lambda_{n+1} S_{n+1}=0$ exists between the columns $S_{i}$ of the determinant $\Delta_{n}$, moreover, $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$, since otherwise a certain Vandermonde determinant would be zero, and $\lambda_{n+1} \neq 0$ by the inductive assumption. We consider the function $F(x)=\lambda_{1} f(x)+$ $\lambda_{2} x+\ldots+\lambda_{n+1} x^{n}$. The points $0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n+1}$ are the roots of this function. Then $F^{(n)}(x)=\lambda_{1} f^{(n)}(x)+n!\lambda_{n+1}$ has two roots $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in(0,1)$ and $f^{(n+1)}(\xi)=0$ for some $\xi \in(0,1)$. The contradiction obtained proves that $\Delta_{n} \neq 0$ and, together with this, the lemma.

We proceed to the proof of the theorem. We note that

$$
t(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{d z}{z(b-z) \varphi(z)}
$$

and we set $1 / \varphi(z)=f(z)$. It is obvious that $f(z)$, as a function of a complex variable, is regular in the same region that $t(x)$ is, since this region does not contain the points 0 and $b$. On the interval $\left\{x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right\}$ we introduce an infinite triangular matrix of Fejer interpolating nodes [5] in the following manner:

$$
x_{\kappa}^{(n)}=x_{0}+(b / 2-x)[1-\cos \pi(2 k-1) / 2(n+1)],
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=1,2, \ldots n+1 \\
& n=1,2 . \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We construct an interpolation process with an $n$ th-degree polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ by setting

$$
t\left(x_{k}\right)=\int_{x_{0}}^{n} p_{n}(z) / z(b-z) d z, \quad k=1,2, \ldots n+1
$$

Here and subsequently we omit the superscripts in the node designations.
We obtain a linear system of equations in the coefficients of the polynomial $P_{n}(z)=$ $d_{0}+d_{1} z+\ldots+d_{n} z^{n}$. The determinant of this system can be represented as the product of a constant factor by a determinant $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ of order $n+1$, each $i$ th row of which is

$$
\ln x_{i} / x_{0} \ln \left(b-x_{0}\right) /\left(b-x_{i}\right) x_{i}-x_{0} \ldots x_{i}^{n-1}-x_{0}^{n-1}
$$

The determinant $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ is nonzero for any $n$. This is verified by arguments exactly repeating those in the proof of the lemma, moreover, on the basis of the lernma, $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are nonzero, and the determinant

$$
\xi_{1}^{-n}\left(b-\xi_{2}\right)^{-n}-\xi_{2}^{-n}\left(b-\xi_{1}\right)^{-n}
$$

where $\xi_{1} \neq \xi_{2}$ and $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in\left(x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right)$, does not equal to zero. Thus, for any $n$ the coefficients of polynomial $P_{\hbar}$ are uniquely determined by the values $t\left(x_{k}\right)$.

The polynomial constructed is an interpolation polynomial for $f(z)$ since

$$
t\left(x_{k}\right)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{k}} f(z) / z(b-z) d z=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{k}} P_{n}(z) / z(b-z) d z, \quad k=1,2, \ldots n+1
$$

moreover, the interpolating nodes for the function $f(z)$ lie strictly between the nodes $x_{k}$. The nodes $x_{k}$ selected are Fejer nodes, therefore, the interpolating nodes for function $f(z)$ also are Fejer nodes, which follows from [5] (Lemma 1, p. 29). On the basis of the corollary to Theorem 1 (see [5], p. 36) we obtain the convergence of the interpolation polynomials $P_{n}$ to the function $f$ with the estimate $\left|P_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant M_{1} / \rho^{n+1}$ for all $x \in\left\lfloor x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right\rfloor$, where $1<\rho<R, M_{1}=$ const. The estimate in the theorem is obtained from the continuity and from the positiveness of $f(x)$ on the interval $\left[x_{0}, b-x_{0}\right]$.

Note. The interpolation process constructed ensures a sufficiently rapid convergence for a sufficient smoothness of the function $t(x)$ and for a special choice of the nodes. For a practical determination of the function $\varphi(x)$ it may be advisable to seek for $t(x)$ the generalized polynomial of best approximation for some fixed degree $n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{n}(t ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} \psi_{k}(x) \\
\psi_{k}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{z^{k}}{z(b-z)} d z, \quad k=0,1, \ldots n
\end{gathered}
$$

by minimizing some criterion for the proximity of the functions $t(x)$ and $Q_{n}(t ; x)$ on the set of all known values of $t(x)$; here the $\psi_{k}(x)$ are linearly independent functions. In practice this is a series of discrete values of instants of time, and the determination of the best $Q_{n}(t ; x)$ can be successfully effected by mathematical programing methods. The function $(b-x)\left(c_{0}+c_{1} x+\ldots+c_{n} x^{n}\right)^{-1}$ is an approximate representation of the harmonic linearization coefficient.

The author thanks N. M. Matveev and L. B. Klebanov for their attention.
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We investigate the relations between the position and the program absorption sets. We cite an example in which the construction of the position absorption set $[1-3]$ is reduced to the determination of a finite number of program absorption sets $[4,5]$.

It is known that in the general case the construction of a position absorption set leads to the determination of a countable sequence of program absorption sets $[1,3,6,7]$. Also well known are the cases when the position absorption set is determined by one program absorption operation $[2,3,5,8]$. We consider a linear differential game of pursuit. Let the motion of a conflict-controlled system be described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x / d t=A(t) x+u-v \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $x$ is the $n$-dimensional system phase vector; $A(t)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with coefficient depending continuously on $t ; u$ and $v$ are the controls of the first and second players, respectively, whose realizations are constrained by $u\lfloor t\rfloor \in p_{t}, v[t] \in Q_{t}$, where the closed convex sets $P_{t}$ and $Q_{t}$ depend piecewise-continuously on $t$.

In the phase space $R_{n}$ we are given a set $M$ which is usually assumed closed and convex. The solution of the pursuit problem consists of having to construct the first player's strategy which guarantees that the phase point $x[t]$ is taken onto the aim set $M$. It is assumed that information on the game position ( $t, x[t]$ ) realized is available to the pursuer. Thus, the pursuit strategies are certain functions $U=U(t, x)$. The classes of players'strategies, containing the solution of the position differential game, were introduced in [2, 7].

Let us briefly describe certain elements of extremal construction used in solving position

